Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1371 S HB	Title: Distracted driving				Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts		
Part I: Estimates No Fiscal Impact							
Estimated Cash Receipts to:							
_	zero but i	ndeterminate cos	st. Please see d	iscussion.			
Estimated Expenditures from:	2010 8401		Trease see a				
COUNTY		FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	201	19-21	2021-23
County FTE Staff Years		11 2010	112017	2017 17	201	., 21	2021 20
Account							
Local - Counties							
Counties Su	ubtotal \$						
CITY		FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	201	19-21	2021-23
City FTE Staff Years							
Account							
Local - Cities	1 1 .						
	ubtotal \$						
Total Estimated Expend	ubtotal \$						
The revenue and expenditure estimates of subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135. Check applicable boxes and follow of form Parts I-V. X If fiscal impact is less than \$50,0	.060. orrespondi 0,000 per	ng instructions: fiscal year in the c	current biennium	n or in subseque	nt biennia, co	omplete en	atire fiscal note
Capital budget impact, complete							
Legislative Contact Paul Ingiosi				Phone: 360-78	6-7114	Date: 0	2/18/2017
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis			Phone: 360-70	4-4142	Date: 0	2/22/2017	
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwa	n			Phone: 360-35	7-2406	Date: 0	2/22/2017
OFM Review				Phone:		Date	

Request # SHB 1371-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The original bill would repeal the definitions of using a wireless communication devices or handheld mobile devices while driving and sending, reading or writing a text message while driving established under RCW's 46.61.667 and 46.61.668. This bill purposes a consolidation of the two definitions in a new section in title 46 and seeks to double the penalty for subsequent offenders under the new section.

The substitute bill would clarify in the new section in title 46 that the second or subsequent offense under this section within five years is subject to two times the penalty amount. The previous version did not have a timeline. The original cash receipts assumption was for offenses within the last three years so the impact does not change.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

The proposed legislation would double the base penalty amount for a second offense. Doubling the base penalty would increase the fine by \$99. The average number of two or more infractions for RCW 46.61.667 and RCW 46.61.668 for the past three years was 510 with a 29% reduction from 2015 to 2016 and a projected reduction in 2017 by another 20%. If the average of 510 was used, the potential increase in revenue would be \$50,490 (510 x \$99). However, the assumption is that the number of second offenses will continue to decline because of the legislation. The two repealed RCWs stated that the infraction would not become part of the driving record, but the new proposed language does not state the same thing so it is implied that it would be treated like other traffic infractions and would become part of the driving record. Therefore, under the proposed bill, it is assumed there would be a minimal increase in infraction revenue.

II. C - Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<u>State</u>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages					
Employee Benefits					
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services					
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total \$					

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<u>County</u>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

City	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact